

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/763,060	KUMAR ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712	

**All Participants:**

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

(2) Gail Ballard for Eric B. Meyertons.

**Status of Application: Rejected**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 January 2007

**Time:** ~ 10:50AM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none.*

Claims discussed:

*none.*

Prior art documents discussed:


*none.*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*examiner inquired regarding the status of the filings subsequent to the rejection mailed June of 2006. Applicants' representatives office indicated no response to the rejection was filed.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 DANIEL S. METZMAIER  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER  
 ART UNIT 1712

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)